G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—20 12-13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1906-07
~~191~~ .
(Current)

File No. 374

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Fixing the limit of Expenditure to be incurred in Connection with the Visits of H H the Maharaja & the Srikanteswar temple at Nanjangud

PREVIOUS REFERENCES	LATER REFERENCES

Muz. S] 190 .

1906-07

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No.

SUBJECT.

Expenditure incurred in connection with the visits of H. H. the Maharaja to the Sri Srikanteswara-swami Temple at Nanjangud.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

Letter No. 174, dated 6th November 1906.

A

In February last, the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District submitted for the sanction of Government bills amounting to Rs. 457-5-7 on account of expenditure incurred for Isthakapal and other honors shown to H. H. the Maharaja and other members of the Ruling family on the occasion of their visits to the temple at Nanjangud.

The amounts were as follows:-

For November 1904	Rs. 80--5--0
" September 1905	" 126--8--1

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

For October 1905	Rs. 83--8--0
" November 1905	Rs. 73--13--11
" December 1905	" 93--2--7
	Total Rs. 457--5--7

2. As the amounts expended appeared very high information was called for from the Deputy Commissioner on the 8th March 1906 asking for the details of the expenditure prescribed for such occasions, and the nature of the honors to be shown and whether there was any rule or custom for regulating the expenditure on such occasions. In his reply (Kanarese Rubkari dated 2nd August 1906), the Deputy Commissioner, stated (1) that the mamul was to take Purnakumbha from the temple to the Isthakapal pandal and to make mangalarti and present it with flower, garlands, fruits &ca to His Highness, and that there were no orders as to the exact cost to be incurred for the purpose, (2) that although no rule existed as

Sup C

Page ( )

Muz. SA]

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. of 190

to the Muniyadas to be shown wher His Highness --- visited the temple, it was the manul to make Thailabhisheka to the minor Vidyadas, to incur extra expenditure for Deeparadhane and to present flower garlands, Churdi, fruits and Betel leaves and nuts and that there were no orders defining the amount to be expended for the purpose, and (3) that it was also the custom to prepare Kalyanna or Thengol and offer the same as Naivedya and then present it as Prasada, and that the cost of this item also had not been defined.

3. With his letter dated the 6th November 1906, the Deputy Commissioner forwarded for sanction another bill for Rs. 95-12-8 on account of H. H's visit to Nanjangud in July 1906 in connection with the Girjakalyana.

4. The total amount payable comes up to Rs (457-5-7 plus 95-12-8) 553-2-3 in all.

5. In regard to the cost to be incurred on such occasions, I beg to state that an order —

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

was passed in the late Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 11545/G 227, dated 13th March 1879, directing that the expenditure to be incurred during each visit of His Highness should be limited to Rs. 10 in the case of a Vishnu temple and to Rs. 5 in the case of other temples and that the actual charge within the limit fixed should be debited to the contingencies of the Taluk concerned and that whenever a larger expenditure than that prescribed had to be incurred, the special sanction of the Deputy Commissioner should be obtained and the additional charge met out of the District contingent allowance without in either case indenting on the Temple Funds.

6. With the view of ascertaining the considerations which led to the issue of the above mentioned order of 1879, I applied to the General Secretariat for the papers connected therewith, but received a reply that the papers are not traceable. Any how, the order in question appears to

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

have been lost sight of and does not appear to have been acted upon in connection with any temple in the State, nor has the provision that such charges should be met from the Taluk and District contingencies been acted up to. My humble opinion in regard to this is that such charges should be borne by the temples concerned and not by the Taluk as the idea is that the temple receives H. H. the Maharaja with due honors.

7. The order of 1879 was again brought to the notice of all the District Officers in Government Proceedings No. 375-84, dated 7th September 1901, in which it was observed that the visits of His Highness the Maharaja should not be made the occasion for spending large sums of money from temple Funds, and that the scale fixed by the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings was ample.

8. On examination of the bills received from the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore, it is found that the local authorities have been incurring expenditure

in a somewhat extravagant fashion and have also mixed up in it items quite unconnected with Isthakapal -- mariyades. The bills range in cost from Rs. 70 to Rs 124 for a visit, the amounts being far in excess of the rates prescribed in the Pro of 1879 referred to above. Large sums are put down for oil, the amount being in one instance as high as Rs. 43, on the ground that the oil was required for Thailabhishekam to the Bhakta Vigrahas and Deeparadhane &ca. Considerable sums have been expended on the preparation of Bhakshas or sweetmeats to be presented as Prasadam, besides the needful fruits and flowers to be too heavy a charge on the temple funds and it seems necessary therefore to regulate and restrict the cost for the future.

required for such occasions. The expenditure ... like Rs 100/- for each visit appears

9. The Isthakapal mariyades consist of taking Poornakumbha to the Isthakapal place with the temple paraphernalia, and presenting flowers, cocoanuts and plantains. All this need not cost more than 2 or 3 Rs, at a time. When His Highness visits the temple flower garlands are presented at the several shrines

Muzrai Secretariat.	File No. of 190

and for this also 5 or 6 Rs. would seem sufficient. The preparation of Prasada is no doubt a necessary one and the cost of the preparation of any special Bhaksha (Sweets) in small quantities need not be more than Rs. 10. Thus, the total cost on each visit comes up to about Rs. 15 or so and ~~that~~ allowing for other unforeseen --- expenses, it is my humble opinion that a sum of Rs. 25 for each visit to Nanjangud of His Highness or any other member of the Ruling family -- will be sufficient for the temple honours under reference, If such visits occur once a month, the annual cost will be Rs. 300/- which can be met from the savings of the Institution. Any special costs incurred for Sevas or Utsavams that may be ordered on the occasion of such visits should be separately billed for and presented to the Officer in waiting or His Highness at the time.

10. It is not proper to include the cost of Thailabhisheka to Bhakta Vigrahas, the whitewashing

8.

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

of the temple and other similar bills in the Isthakapal bills. It may be ordered that the whitewashing of the temple should be made once a year just before the Girijakalyana and Thailabhisheka to Bhaktavigrahas also once a year, and the cost thereof separately billed for and sanction obtained without being included in the Isthakapal Bills.

// As the amounts of the Bills received from the Deputy Commissioner were actually expended before their submission to this Office, there is no help for it but to sanction them from the savings of the temple.

255

Submitted to the Dewan thro' the 2nd Councillor

T. Ananda Sami Rao
29. 11. 906

The Muzrai Secretary has put the case so lucidly that I content myself with saying that I fully concur him

Subd to the Dewan
3/12/06
3/12/06

3118
3.12.06

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05.

Submitted to His Highness.

The Muzrai Secretary solicits sanction to an expenditure of ₹553-2-3, in connection with the visits of His Highness the Maharaja to the Sri Srikanteswara Swamy's temple at Nanjangud. The Secretary's note deals with the case fully.

The Secretary suggests that the maximum expenditure on account of temple honors which might be incurred on the occasion of each of the visits of His Highness or other member of His Highness' family to Nanjangud, might be fixed at ₹25, and that the whitewashing of the temple be done once a year and the cost separately billed for and sanctioned.

The Second Councillor concurs in the Secretary's recommendations, and I agree with him.

The recommendations may be sanctioned.

6/12/1906

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

7/12/06 No 48

Expenditure to be incurred in connection with the visits of His Highness the Maharaja or other members of His Highness' family to the Sri Srikanteswara Swami Temple at Nanjangud.

Order.

697
454
10/12/06

Sanctioned
~~KRW~~
8/12/06

Dewan's order
Please issue needful orders
BO
CAB
7/12

Rao
10/12/06

Subd to the 2 Councillors
for info, before issue
11/12/06

Seen
WPM
12/12/06

Asst Secy
by

Aug A

801

1/1

15/8/06

1906-07

FROM M. Kantaraj Urs Esq/ B.A.
Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To L. Anantasami Rao Esq. B.A.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore,
in the Mysore Department

Dated 18th August 1906

Despatched

Received

General No. Rubakari No 17
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Forwards a rubakari stating that a detailed report is submitted regarding the Honours to be shown to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore in the Sri Srikanteswara Swami temple, Nanjangud.

No 17

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 2 [illegible]

1906 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[

8-3-1906 నాటి నెంబర్ 3776 గల నాయిదా

రాయదుర్గం సబ్ డివిజనల్ ఆఫీసర్ గారికి

రాయునది—

రాయదుర్గం తాలూకా

నల్లరాందాం నాగలింగప్ప

/ మా తాలూకాలోని మాల్యం గ్రామం

లో నివసించే దివాను వాండ్లకిచ్చిన

రశీదులకొరకు లంచమడిగినందు

వల్లనూ వాండ్లకు సంబంధించిన

వ్యవహారాలలో ఇబ్బంది

లు కలిగించినందువల్లనూ

అనుదినం ఇబ్బందులు పడుచున్నారు!

ఇట్లు

నివేదించిన వ్యవహారం కాను

దివాను వాండ్లకిచ్చిన రశీదులు

వాండ్లకు లంచమిచ్చి తీసుకోవలసి

వచ్చినందువల్ల వాండ్లకు

ఇబ్బందులు కలిగించినందువల్ల

వాండ్లకు సంబంధించిన

వ్యవహారాలలో ఇబ్బందులు

కలిగించినందువల్ల

అనుదినం కష్టాలు పడుచున్నారు.

కావున తమరు

దీనిపై తగిన చర్యలు

తీసుకొని

రక్షించవలసినదిగా

ప్రార్థన.

రాయదుర్గం

తేదీ 8-3-1906

ఏ ఏ రాష్ట్రాలలో ప్రస్తుతం ఎన్ని
చిరుత పులులు ఉన్నాయో ఆ రాష్ట్రాల
లో చిరుత పులుల సంఖ్యను పెంచడానికి
ఏ ఏ కార్యక్రమాలు చేపట్టారో వివరించండి.

ఇ — ఎక్కడ ఎన్ని చిరుత
పులులు ఉన్నాయో ఆ రాష్ట్రాలలో
చిరుత పులుల సంఖ్యను పెంచడానికి
ఏ ఏ కార్యక్రమాలు చేపట్టారో
చిరుత పులులు ప్రస్తుతం ఎక్కడ
ఎన్ని ఉన్నాయో వివరించండి.

3. ನಂಬಿನ ನಾಗಾರಿನ
ಗಾಲಿ ಮೀರಾಳ್ಳಂತಾರಿದರಂತ
ತಿಳ್ಳಿಂದ. ದೇವನಿಚ್ಛನಯಿಂದ
ತಿಳ್ಳಿಲೆಲಾರಿ ನಿಳ್ಳಿದನಾಗಾರಿ
ನಂಬಿದರತ್ತಿನಾದವರನಿಲ್ಲಿ
ಸಿಕ್ಕಿ ತಿದಂಧರ್ಣಿನಳ್ಳುಂಟಾರಿ
ತಲಿಲಾರಿದು? ಯಿಂಗಾನ
ಳ್ಳಿ ಸೀಲಾಂನಿದಲುನಲಾಗಾಚಿದಾರಿ
ನಿವರು ಯಿಂಗನಾಳುನಲ್ಲಿ-
ಯಿಂಧ್ರಾನಿಂದಿಗಿನರ್ದಿದಖಿಳ್ಳಿ
ನೆಳ್ಳು ಯಿಲಾಂತಿಲಾಂಧಿನಿಂದ
ನರನಿಲಾರಾಂಬಿಳ್ಳು?

ನಂಬಿನ ನಾಗಾರಿನಲ್ಲಿ
ನೀರಾಳ್ಳಿಂನಾಗಾರಿದಕಾಲಾವಸ್ಥಿ
ಲಾಂಧ್ರೀಂತಿದಂಧರ್ಣಿನಳ್ಳು. ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ
ಕಾಲಿನರಿದಾ ಚಿತ್ತಿನಿಲಿಂಗಿಲೆಂಚು
ನದಿಕ್ಕಿ ದಲಾಂಗಾಲ್ಲಿದ್ದಾರಿತ
ದಲಾಲ್ಲಿಗಾ ಲಿದನಿಂದಿಗಿನರ್ದಿದಖಿಳ್ಳಿ
ನಲಿನಿಲಾರಿತಿಳ್ಳಿಲುಳ್ಳಾಗಿ. ಕೆಂಗಿ
ಳ್ಳಲು. ನಳ್ಳಿಂನಿತಿದಂಧರ್ಣಿನಳ್ಳು.
ತಲೆಲೆಲಾರಿ ನಿಳ್ಳಿದನಾಂನಿರಿತರ
ಳ್ಳಿಧರ್ಣಿಕ್ಕಿನಾದವದಿಲ್ಲಿಲಾಚಿನಿ
ತಲ್ಲಿನಿಸಿಂತಿನಲಾಂಳಲಾಂಲ್ಲಿ
ನಲ ನರಿಚಿ ಬಾಲಿಚಿಲಾಂಟಿಲ್ಲಿ—
ದಿಲಾಲ್ಲಾಳ್ಳಿಖಿಳ್ಳಿಲಾಂಟಿಲ್ಲಿಂಚ
ಲಾ. ಲಾಂದಿನ್ನಿ ಯಿಂಧ್ರಾಂಚಾಂ
ಚಿನಿಂದ ನರನಿಬಿಚ್ಚಿಕಿಂ ಚಾಂ
ದಳ್ಳಿ ನಿಂ. ಯಿಂಲಾಲಾಧಾಂರಿಲೆ
ಯಿಲು-ಯಿಲಾಳ್ಳಿ

ಯಿಂಗಾಂಗಾಂಳ್ಳು ತಿಂಂದಿಲಾಂಬಿಳ್ಳಿ
ದಾಳ್ಳಿಲೆಳ್ಳಿಳ್ಳು ತಿಳ್ಳಿಲುತಿಳ್ಳಿಂಳಾಗಾಚಿ ಯಿಲು-
ಲಾಲಾಂಧ್ರಾಂಳಾಗಾಂಲಾಂಳಿಳ್ಳಿಲ್ಲಿ ನಳಾಲಿಲಿಳ್ಳಿಂಗಿ

ಇಂಚಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಪತ್ರಾ ವ್ಯವಹಾರಗಳು. ಕಾಲಿನ

ಸೇವಾನಿರತ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ

ನೇಮಕಾತಿ ಆದೇಶಗಳನ್ನು ನೀಡಿಕೆ, ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ

ಲಿಖಿತ ಪತ್ರಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಇತರೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ

ಕೆಲಸಗಳು. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರ

ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಣೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ವರದಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವುದು

ಮತ್ತು ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರ ಸೇವಾ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳನ್ನು

ಇಲಾಖಾ ನಿಯಮಾನುಸಾರ ಕಾಲಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ

ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡುವುದು, ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರ

ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರಿಂದ

ಬರುವ ದೂರುಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳುವುದು.

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರ ಸೇವಾ ಪುಸ್ತಕಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿಸಿರುವ

ಮಾಹಿತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡುವುದು.

ದಿನಾಂಕ 28-7-1976ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ $\frac{130}{118}$ ಸಿ.ನಂಬರ

ರಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಿರುವ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಆದೇಶಗಳನ್ವಯ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಯವರಿಗೆ

ಇನ್ಸೆಂಟಿವ್ ಮಂಜೂರಾತಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ

ಪ್ರಾಂಶುಪಾಲರಿಂದ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆದು ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ

ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವುದು.

ಇತರರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಕೆಲಸಗಳು.

Muz 1 A.

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Secretary to Govt
General & Revenue Departts,

Dated 29th Bangalore
Despatched
Received 4th August 1906
General No
Muzrai F. No. 1421

Enclosure No.

Has the honor to request that the entire records ending with the late Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No 11545-G. 227 2/13 March 1879 ~~regarding~~ prescribing the scale of expenditure to be incurred on the occasion of H. H the Maharaja's visit to Vishnu and Siva temples in

GDS—8,000—21-7-06

the State and the subsequent orders if any on the subject may be kindly caused to be forwarded to this office for reference and compliance.

G.R.S. 29A]

190 .

Refer
Rs
179/06
1557
JwA
21/9/06

From
V.S.Chandrasekhara Aiyar, Esquire, B.A., L.T.,
Secy. to the Govt of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Mazral Department.

Dated 15 September 1906.

Despatched

Received

General No. 9 1947
No.

Enclosure No.

With reference to his docket No. 1421 dated the 29th August 1906 asking for certain records ending with the late Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 11545/G 227 dated the 13th March 1879, has the honour to state that the said papers have not been traceable in the Records of this Office.

By Order,

For Secretary.

MGCPB—20,000—16-2-05

1906-07.

From
Sri Kantaraj Urs Esq: B.A.
D/Commissioner
Mysore District.

To
L. Arumtasawami Rao Esq: B.A.
Secy: to Govt: Genl: Deptt

Dated 6th Nov: 1906.

Despatched

Received

General No 174
No.

Enclosure No. 1 bill

Submitted in Continuation-

Submits for favour of Sanction a bill amounting to Rs 95 12-8 on account of Lotha Kapal Mariyadas.

MGCPB—50 rehms—6-12-02

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District
Dated Mysore 6th November 1906

No 174
16th

To L. Anantasamy Rao Esqre B.A.
The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Menagai Department

Sir

I have the honor to submit herewith for favour of sanction a bill amounting to Rs 95-12-8 on account of "Isthakupad marjadas" on the occasion of the visit to the Sri Sri Kanteerava Swamy temple at Nanjengud of His Highness the Maharaja and Her Highness the Maharani during the month of July 1906.

2. The file of papers received with yadi No 380/252 dated the 24th September 1906 from the Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Nanjengud group of Talukes accompanies for reference.

I have the honorable
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

B.A.

Deputy Commissioner
Mysore

(Dft. as per H.H's orders

Murrai.

Read again the following:—

(1) Late Chief Commrs Pro. No. 11545/G 227 dated 13th March 1879, prescribing a scale of expenditure to be incurred in temples in connection with the visit of H.H the Maharaja of Mysore thereto.

"In extenso"

Xpdo 3 copies 13/2

(2) Govt. Pro. No. 375-84 Mmz. 7.150-92 dy 7th Sept. 1901, sanctioning with certain observations, a portion of the expenditure incurred on the occasion of H.H. the Maharaja's

visit to the Sri Narayanaswami
temple at Melkote
in Nov:- 1900 and regulating
the scale of expenditure
on future occasions.

And Read:—

Correspondence
ending with letter No. 174
of the 6th Nov:- 1906, from
the Dy Comm:-, Mysore Dt:,
submitting for sanction
bills of expenditure
incurred in connection
with the visits of H.H.
the Maharaja to the
Sri Srikanteswaraswami

temple at Nanjangud

—— a ——

Order thereon :—

No 3936-468/
15.2.07
Encl 5 bills
& 2 Pds

From the correspondence
ending with the above
Dy Comm's letter of the
6th Nov: 1906, read above,
it is observed that on
each occasion of the
visit of H.H. the Maharaja
and the members of H.H's
family to ~~the temple~~ the Nursery
Nanjangud
~~temples~~, it is usual
for the temple authorities
(1) to take Poorna Kumbha
from the temple to the
place,
Sitakabal ~~Paudal~~
and after making

Mangalavati, present
it with flowers ~~&c~~ garlands
fruits &c &c.; (2) to
make Mailabhishekha
and Deeparadhane to the
major and minor Vigrahas
in the Nanjangud Temple
and (3) to prepare Sakyanna
and ~~&c~~ other ~~&c~~ sweetmeats
and offer them ~~the same~~ as Naivedya
to the deity
and then present them ~~the same~~
as Prasada. The Dy Comm^r
has submitted for sanction
the marginally noted
bills aggregating Rs 553-2-3,
being the cost incurred
during
~~one~~

For Nov^r 1905 - Rs 80-5-0.
" Sept^r 1905 - 126-8-1.
" Oct^r 1905 - 83-8-0.
" Nov^r 1905 - 73-13-11.
" Dec^r 1905 - 93-2-7
" Jan^y 1906 - 95-12-8
Total Rs 553-2-

during the
several visits of H.H. the
the Maharaja and the
members of the Ruling
family.

2. With reference
to the above, the Govt
observe that in the
late Chief Commrs Pro:
of the 13th March 1879
read above, it was directed
that the expenditure to be
incurred during each
visit of H.H should be
limited to Rs 10 in the case
of a Vishnu temple and

Rs 5 in the case of other temples and that the actual charge within the limit as ~~above~~ fixed above, should be debited to the contingencies of the Taluk concerned and that whenever a larger expenditure than that prescribed, had to be incurred, Special Sanction should be obtained thereto, the additional charge being met out of the District Contingencies, without in either case, indenting on the temple Funds.

This order however,
~~does not appear to have~~
appears to have been
lost sight of till the
year 1901, ~~on the~~ ~~to~~
~~Septs of which~~ when the Govt
in their Ro. No 375-84
read above, observed
~~that the~~ visits of H.H.
should not be made the
occasion for spending
large sums of ~~the~~ money,
from Temple Funds,
the scale prescribed by
the late Chief Commr.
being ample.

3. An examination

of the bills now submitted
by the Dy Comm: discloses
the fact that the local
authorities have incurred
expenditure in a somewhat
extravagant ~~fashion~~ manner,
and have also included in the bills
~~mixing up~~ items unconnected
with the Dan Isthakabal
marriages. The bills
range in cost from
Rs 70 to Rs124 for each visit,
large sums are put down
for oil for Nailabhisheka
to the Bhakta Vigrahas
and deeparadhane and
considerable sums are shown as expended
for the preparation of Bhakshas
being as Prasada.

3. The expenditure of something like Rs 100/- for each visit of H.H. the Maharaja being too heavy a charge on the temple Funds, the Govt. consider it necessary to regulate and restrict to a certain fixed amount the cost, for the future. ~~as follows.~~

4. The Sthanikas and Mariyadis which consist of taking Poorna Kumbha to the Sthanika Bhavan with the temple paraphernalia and of presenting flowers

Cocoanuts and plantains need not exceed Rs 3 at a time When H.H. visits the temple, the flower garlands &c which are presented at the several Shrines need not also exceed Rs 3 in cost. ~~The presentation of Prasada is no doubt a necessary item~~ and the cost of the preparation of Special Bhakshyas in small quantities ~~need~~ for presentation as "Prasada" need not exceed Rs 10. Thus, the total cost on each visit comes upto Rs 16, allowing for other

unforeseen expenses,
the Govt. of H.H. the
are of opinion
Maharaja ~~direct, in~~
~~modification of all~~
~~orders passed on the subject~~,
that a Sum of Rs 25 for
each visit of Ranjangaon
of H.H. or any other membe
of the Ruling Family, should
be sufficient for the temple
honors under reference,
and they accordingly
direct that
~~restrict~~ the expenditure
be restricted
to that amount in future;
the charge incurred on
this account being

to the funds of the temple.
~~The temple concerned~~
~~His~~ expenditure being a proper charge on temple funds,
~~and not to~~ the Taluk

or District Contingent
need not be indented upon for this purpose
allowances, as originally
~~prescribed~~ directed by the Taluk

Chief Commr.

4. As regards ~~However,~~

the cost of Thailabhisheka of the Bhakta Nigahas

and the whitewashing of
the temple, which ~~have~~ has
been included in the bills
now submitted for Sanction,

the Govt. observe that
the charge is one unconnected with Sattvikapal honours,
~~it should never have been~~
and should not be included in the bills therefor.
~~You must forthwith~~
~~have done so.~~ The
Thailabhisheka & the whitewashing
should be done once a year

just before the Simha Kalyana
& the cost thereof separately
billed for & sanction
obtained.

5 With the foregoing
remarks, the Govt are
pleased to sanction the
bills aggregating Rs 553-2-3
and forward them to
the Comptr to Govt
for audit & return
to the Dy Comm! for payment,
the cost being debited
to the savings of the ~~temple~~
Sri Srikantheswaraswamy temple at Nanjangud,
~~& the amounts were~~
the expenditure having been
actually ~~expended~~ incurred before
the bills were submitted

Dgovt. for sanction.

13/2/07

Secy Mines Dept

W. Hs orders

RS
12/2/07

To
the comptr. Dgovt.
with the passed bills

Dg comm. Mysore
ref. other records.

Dg commrs of other Dts.
for info & guidance.

Officer in charge of the
Palace Exhs, Mysore

Secy Dgovt., Genl & Rev. Depts

READ AGAIN THE FOLLOWING —

(1) Late Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No 1545—G 227, dated 13th March 1879, prescribing a scale of expenditure to be incurred in temples in connection with the visit of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore thereto —

"ORDER —As it is considered desirable to regulate the scale of expenditure to be incurred in receiving His Highness the Maharaja with fitting ceremony on the occasion of His Highness' visit to any temple in the Province, and to provide means for meeting the charge without indenting on the Temple Surplus Funds, the Chief Commissioner directs that the expenditure to be incurred during each visit of His Highness be limited to Rs. 10 in the case of a Vishnu Temple and to Rs 5 in the case of others Within the limit fixed the charge actually incurred will be defrayed under the orders of the Amildar of the Taluk wherein the temple is situated, and debited to the Taluk contingencies.

"2 Whenever it is deemed necessary to incur a larger expenditure than that prescribed in the preceding para, the special sanction of the Deputy Commissioner should be obtained, and the extra or additional charge will be met out of the District contingent allowances."

(2) Government Proceedings No 375 84—Muz. F 150-92, dated 7th September 1901, *sanctioning* with certain observations, a portion of the expenditure incurred on the occasion of His Highness the Maharaja's visit to the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote in November 1900, and regulating the scale of expenditure on future occasions.

AND READ—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 174, dated 6th November 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, submitting for sanction, bills of expenditure incurred in connection with the visits of His Highness the Maharaja to the Sri Srikanteswaraswami temple at Nanjangud.

No. 3936-46—Muz. F. 165 of 1892, dated Bangalore, 15th February 1907.

ORDER THEREON.—From the correspondence ending with the Deputy Commissioner's letter of the 6th November 1906, read above, it is observed that on each occasion of the visit of His Highness the Maharaja and the members of His Highness' family to Nanjangud, it is usual for the temple authorities (1) to take *Purna Kumbha* from the temple to the *Istakapal* place, and after making Mangalarati, present it with flower garlands, fruits, etc., to His Highness; (2) to make Thailabhisheka and Diparadhane to the major and minor Vigrahas in the Nanjangud temple; and (3) to prepare Salyanna and other sweetmeats and offer them as Neivedya to the deity and then present them as Prasada. The Deputy Commissioner has submitted for sanction the marginally noted bills aggregating Rs. 553-2-3, being the cost incurred during the several visits of His Highness the Maharaja and the members of the ruling family.

	Rs	a.	p
For November 1904	80	5	0
,, September 1905 ..	126	8	1
,, October 1905 ..	83	8	0
,, November 1905 ...	73	13	11
,, December 1905 .	93	2	7
,, July 1906	95	12	8
Total	553	2	3

2. With reference to the above, the Government observe that in the late Chief Commissioner's Proceedings of the 13th March 1879 read above, it was directed that the expenditure to be incurred during each visit of His Highness should be limited to Rs. 10 in the case of a Vishnu temple and to Rs. 5 in the case of other temples and that the actual charge within the limit as fixed above, should be debited to the contingencies of the taluk concerned and that whenever a larger expenditure than that prescribed, had to be incurred, special sanction should be obtained thereto, the additional charge being met out of the district contingencies, without, in either case, indenting on the temple funds. This order, however, appears to have been lost sight of till the year 1901, when *the Government in their Proceedings No.* 375-84 read above, observed that the visits of His Highness should not be made the occasion for spending large sums of money from temple funds, the scale prescribed by the late Chief Commissioner being ample.

3. An examination of the bills now submitted by the Deputy Commissioner discloses the fact that the local authorities have incurred expenditure in a somewhat extravagant manner, and have also included in the bills items unconnected with the *Istakapal Maryades.* The bills range in cost from Rs. 70 to Rs. 124 for each visit, large sums are put down for oil for Thailabhisheka to the Bhaktavigrahas and

Diparadhane and considerable sums are shown as expended for the preparation of Bhakshas for being presented as *Prasada.*

4. The expenditure of something like Rs. 100 for each visit of His Highness the Maharaja being too heavy a charge on the temple funds, the Government consider it necessary to regulate and restrict the cost to a certain fixed amount for the future.

5. The *Istakapal Maryades* which consist of taking *Purna Kumbha* to the *Istakapal* place with the temple paraphernalia and of presenting flowers, cocoanuts and plantains, need not exceed Rs. 3 at a time. When His Highness visits the temple, the flower garlands, etc., which are presented at the several shrines, need not also exceed Rs. 3 in cost, and the cost of the preparation of special Bhakshas in small quantities for presentation as *Prasada* need not exceed Rs. 10. Thus, the total cost on each visit comes up to Rs. 16, and allowing for other unforeseen expenses, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are of opinion that a sum of Rs. 25 for each visit to Nanjangud of His Highness or any other member of the ruling family, should be sufficient for the temple honors under reference, and they accordingly direct that the expenditure be restricted to that amount in future, the charge incurred on this account being debited to the funds of the temple. This expenditure being a proper charge on temple funds, the Taluk or District contingent allowances need not be indented upon for this purpose as originally directed by the late Chief Commissioner.

6. As regards the cost of Thailabhisheka of the Bhaktavigrahas and the white-washing of the temple, which has been included in the bills now submitted for sanction, the Government observe that the charge is one unconnected with *Istakapal* honors and should not be included in the bills therefor. The Thailabhisheka and the white-washing should be done once a year just before the Girijakalyana and the cost thereof separately billed for and sanction obtained.

7. With the foregoing remarks, the Government are pleased to sanction the bills aggregating Rs. 553-2-3 and forward them to the Comptroller for audit and return to the Deputy Commissioner for payment, the cost being debited to the savings of the Sri Srikanteswaraswami temple at Nanjangud, the expenditure having been actually incurred before the bills were submitted to Government for sanction.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Secretary, Muzrai Department.

To—The Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore with th sanctioned bills.

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, returning other records.

The Deputy Commissioners of other districts for information and guidance.

The Officer in charge of the Palace Establishments, Mysore.

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, General a Revenue Departments.

Exd.—C. V.